

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATEL IN AND TRADEMARKS Workington DCC 20231 www.usptogov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 333,966	06 16 1999	GUO-LIANG YU	1488.0310005	4780

02-19-2003

STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 200053934

EXAMINER ULM, JOHN D PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1646

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.
--	-------------	-----

09/333,966

Applicant(s)

Yu et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

John Ulm

Art Unit 1646



	The WALING DATE OF this communication appear	,3 0,, 1,,	e dover sheet with the dorrespondence address			
	for Reply					
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO E	EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM			
- Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no ever	nt, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within					
- Failure	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appl a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause	e the appli	cation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR $1.704(b)$.	of this com	munication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status						
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 21,	, 2002	·			
2a) X	This action is FINAL . 2b) This a	iction is	non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	-	ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is uayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	ition of Claims					
4) X	Claim(s) 27-46		is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) X	Claim(s) <u>27-42</u>		is/are allowed.			
6) X	Claim(s) <u>43-46</u>		is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗀	Claims		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ation Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/a	ire a)	accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawin	g(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this	s Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	All b) Some* c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International But		ents have been received in this National Stage CT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	the cer	tified copies not received.			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
a) .	The translation of the foreign language provision					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm						
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 29	5) 6)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			
~ X ""	Similarion processing of determining in 10-1440/ raper (1005).	01	Olivi.			

Application/Control Number: 09/333,966

Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 27 to 16 are negligible in the instant application. Claims 47 to 75 have been

- Claims 27 to 46 are pending in the instant application. Claims 47 to 75 have been canceled as requested by Applicant in Paper Number 27, filed 21 November of 2002.
- 2) Any objection or rejection of record which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 3) The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- The declaration by Thi-San Migone that was filed on 21 November of 2002 under 37 CFR 1.132 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 27 to 46 based upon lack of utility. The explanation contained therein of the relevance of the activation of the NF-κB transcription factor by the claimed protein, when agonist activated, in view of the disclosure in lines 20 to 24 on page 46 of the instant specification that NF-κB activation is pro-inflammatory supports the apparently contradictory assertions in the specification that an agonist to the claimed protein can induce apoptosis whereas an antagonists thereto can be anti-inflammatory. Therefore, the assertion on page 46 of the instant specification that the protein of the instant invention can be employed to identify antagonists thereto, which would be expected to be anti-inflammatory, constitutes a credible, specific and substantial utility.
 - 5) Claims 27 to 42 are allowable as written.
- 6) Claims 43 to 46 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the instant specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/333,966 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

These claims encompass an isolated protein which is encoded by a nucleic acid that hybridizes to a reference nucleic acid under specified conditions and which reacts with an antibody that binds to a reference polypeptide. The instant specification discloses that the claimed polypeptide can be employed to identify agonists and antagonists thereto. The information derived from the claimed polypeptide is only relevant in so far as it is predictive of the action of a compound on a native human protein. The only protein which is described in the instant specification that could reasonably be expected to behave in a manner that is predictive of a native human receptor protein is one that comprises the amino acid sequence presented in SEQ ID NO:2 of the instant application. Whereas one could readily made any one of the tens of thousands of material embodiments encompassed by the claims, one would not expect the majority of those material embodiments to function in a manner that is representative of an authentic human receptor protein. *In re Fisher*, 427 F.2d 833, 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA 1970), held that

"Inventor should be allowed to dominate future patentable inventions of others where those inventions were based in some way on his teachings, since such improvements while unobvious from his teachings, are still within his contribution, since improvement was made possible by his work; however, he must not be permitted to achieve this dominance by claims which are insufficiently supported and, hence, not in compliance with first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112; that paragraph requires that scope of claims must bear a reasonable correlation to scope of enablement provided by specification to persons of ordinary skill in the art; in cases involving predictable factors, such as mechanical or electrical elements, a single embodiment provides broad enablement in the sense that, once imagined, other embodiments can be made without difficulty and their performance characteristics predicted

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/333,966

Art Unit: 1646

by resort to known scientific law; in cases involving unpredictable factors, such as most chemical reactions and physiological activity, scope of enablement varies inversely with degree of unpredictability of factors involved."

Because the instant specification does not identify those amino acid residues in SEQ ID NO:2 of the instant specification which are critical to the structural and functional integrity of a receptor protein comprising that sequence, identify a structurally analogous protein for which this information is known and could be applied to the instant protein by extrapolation, or even provide a single working example of an intentionally modified protein of the instant invention, much less a representative number of claimed embodiments, an artisan can not change even a single residue within the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2 and predict the effects of that change on the performance of that protein "by resort to known scientific law".

- 7) Applicant's arguments filed 12 November of 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8) THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 1646

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (703) 308-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242 or (703) 872-9306. Official responses under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 should be directed to (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

1